EDMONDSON vs. TYSON, et al. VALERIE J. HARWOOD

4:05-CV-00329 1/29/08

Page 1

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his )
capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL )
OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and )
OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE )
ENVRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT, )
in his capacity as the )
TRUSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES)
FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, )

Plaintiffs, )

VS. )4:05-CV-00329-TCK-SAJ

TYSON FOODS, INC., et al, )

Defendants.

THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF

VALERIE J. HARWOOD, Ph.D., produced as a witness on behalf of the Defendants in the above styled and numbered cause, taken on the 29th day of January, 2008, in the City of Tulsa, County of Tulsa, State of Oklahoma, before me, Bonnie Glidewell, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, duly certified under and by virtue of the laws of the State of Oklahoma.

EXHIBIT

3

			Page 2
1	A P P E	ARANCES	
2			
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:	Mr. David Page	
4		Attorney at Law 502 West 6th Street Tulsa, OK 74119	
5		-and- Mr. Louis Bullock	
6		Attorney at Law 110 West 7th St. Suite 707	
7		Tulsa, OK 74119	
8	FOR TYSON FOODS:	Mr. Jay Jorgenson	
9	FOR TIBON TOODS.	Mr. Gordon Todd Attorneys at Law	
10		1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005	
11			
12	FOR CARGILL:	Mr. John Tucker Attorney at Law	
13		100 West 5th Street	
± J		Suite 400 Tulsa, OK 74103	
14		Tursa, Ok /4103	
15	FOR SIMMONS FOODS:	Mr. John Elrod	
16	LOK PIHHOMP 10029.	Attorney at Law	
		211 East Dickson Street Fayetteville, AR 72701	
17			
18	FOR PETERSON FARMS:	Ms. Nicole Longwell	
19		Attorney at Law 320 South Boston	
2.0		Suite 700	
20		Tulsa, OK 74103	
21		TTT	
22	FOR GEORGE'S:	Mr. Woody Bassett, III Attorney at Law	
23		221 North College Fayetteville, AR 72701	
24			
25			

EDMONDSON vs. TYSON, et al. VALERIE J. HARWOOD

			Page 3
1	FOR WILLOW BROOK:	Ms. Jennifer Griffin Attorney at Law	A worldwess above.
2		314 East High Street Jefferson City, MO 65109	econocida de la compania de la comp
3		(Via phone)	
4		Mr. Robert Sanders	A STATE OF THE STA
5	FOR CAL-MAINE:	Attorney at Law	the object to the control of the con
6		2000 AmSouth Plaza P. O. Box 23059	
		Jackson, MS 39225 -and-	near transfer to
7		Mr. Robert Reddeman Attorney at Law	delitable deservation
8		1437 South Boulder	
9		Tulsa, OK 74119	
10	ALSO APPEARING:	Mr. Samuel Myoda	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
22			
23			
24			
25			
			en en fantal de fantansk fantansk fantal en blei fan de fantal fan

EDMONDSON vs. TYSON, et al. VALERIE J. HARWOOD

		Page 4
1	I N D E X	polyce and an analysis and an
2	WITNESS VALERIE J. HARWOOD, Ph.D.	G E
3		6
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Elrod 2  Direct Examination by Ms. Longwood 2	87 97
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Tucker 3	0.8
6	G: E11760 UCG	330
7	Reporter's Certificate	331
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
23		
24		
25		

EDMONDSON vs. TYSON, et al. VALERIE J. HARWOOD

·		Page 291
1	treated. And then if they do go to the hospital and	us prace of the
2	get diagnosed, it's very frequently often seems to	1.5. A. 12. B. 13. B. 1
3	be foodborne rather than waterborne.	
4	Q But my question is this. If people are	
5	obtaining most of their drinking water from a	03:28PM
6	particular spring and getting sick from drinking	
7	that water, don't you believe that eventually they	d property and the second
8	would make an association between the drinking of	Composite SEE TO
9	that water and diarrhea?	**************************************
10	A Yeah, I do. I don't know that, I don't know	03:28PM
11	even that that spring is one, again, that's	
12	contaminated or not. We just went to it, you know,	
13	as an example of the spring.	
14	Q And I think this was asked, I came in late	
15	from lunch, and I think that I Mr. Jorgenson asked	03:29PM
16	just as I was walking in, but I want to ask it of	
17	you because I've been asking it of everybody, and	
18	that is, it's true, is it not, Doctor that you could	
19	not identify one single person in the history of the	
20	IRW who has gotten sick from drinking water that was	03:29PM
21	contaminated by chicken litter?	
22	A Well, again, that's a very daunting task.	
23	People don't you know, epidemiology is really not	
24	often done, but, you know, personally, I mentioned	
25	we did not carry out any epidemiology tests.	03:29PM

EDMONDSON vs. TYSON, et al. VALERIE J. HARWOOD

		i k
		Page 292
1	Q And you can identify no such person who has	entigras (In-play)
2	become sick from drinking water contaminated by	ALAS MESTA GENERAL MESTA GENERAL MESTA GENERAL MESTA GENERAL MESTA GENERAL MESTA GENERAL MESTA M
3	chicken litter in the IRW; isn't that true?	6.03 h. et 2023 (see et 20
4	A I can't identify any persons. We know about	
5	the increase in indicator bacteria.	03:29PM
6	Q And, Doctor, do you know who it is among the	SERVICE AND A SE
7	team of experts for the State of Oklahoma in this	A Company of the Comp
8	case whose job it has been to identify such a	
9	person?	
10	MR. PAGE: Object to the form.	03:29PM
11	THE WITNESS: Can you restate that.	
12	Q (By Mr. Elrod) Do you know whose job among	
13	the expert panel it is to identify a person who has	
14	become sick from drinking water contaminated by	
15	chicken litter in the IRW?	03:30PM
16	MR. PAGE: Same objection.	
17	THE WITNESS: Again, we focussed our	
18	investigation on the known indicators of fecal	,
19	contamination that have human health risks. We	
20	haven't tried to identify people.	03:30PM
21	Q (By Mr. Elrod) So is it your testimony that	
22	no one on the expert panel of the State of Oklahoma	
23	in this case has been charged with responsibility to	
24	find and identify an actual person who's become ill	
25	as a result of drinking water contaminated by	03:30PM